

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,111	02/21/2002	Ting-Wah Wong	PSS.0029P7US	4390	
21906	7590 04/07/200				
TROP PRUNER & HU, PC			EXAM	EXAMINER	
8554 KATY SUITE 100			NADAV, ORI		
HOUSTON, TX 77024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2811		
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
,		1				
Office Action Summary	10/081,111	WONG ET AL.				
	Examin r	Art Unit				
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication and	ori nadav	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address Period for R ply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 J</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-24 and 26-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-19 and 27-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-10,20-24,26 and 31-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/081,111 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 31-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claimed limitations of a resistor acting as a high impedance relative to a junction capacitance within the triple well, as recited in claims 31 and 37, is unclear as to how an impedance can be compared to (being high relatively-to) a capacitance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 20-24, 26 and 31-37, insofar as in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Momohara

Art Unit: 2811

(6,055,655) in view of Ng (Complete guide to semiconductor devices, page 109) and Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Momohara teaches in figures 22A and 24 and related text a method comprising: forming a circuit element PMOS14 (figure 24) over a triple well 25-7, 22-7 in a substrate 10; and biasing a well of the triple well, forming a P-type well 25-7 in an N-type well 22-7 formed in the substrate, including biasing the N-type and P-type wells and providing a common bias potential to different wells, forming a complementary metal oxide semiconductor transistor over a triple well and biasing at least one of the wells of the triple well, forming a plurality of triple wells in the substrate and forming a circuit element over each of the triple wells, biasing at least one well of each of the triple wells through a common potential, wherein each of the potentials being applied to the wells. Momohara does not teach biasing a well of the triple well through a resistor and using the analog circuit as a radio frequency circuit.

Ng teaches on page 109, section 12.4 that the two main functions of a resistor are to limit current flow and to produce a voltage source from the current flow.

AAPA teaches on page 1, lines 21-22 that a radio frequency circuit is an analog circuit. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a radio frequency circuit in Momohara's analog circuit, and to supply voltage to Momohara's device through a resistor in order to use the device in its intended use, in an application which requires a radio frequency circuit, and in order

Application/Control Number: 10/081,111

Art Unit: 2811

to provide the required voltage to the wells by using a well known voltage biasing method for distributing voltage to the device, respectively.

Note that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Regarding claims 4, 5, 10, 20, 21, 24, 34 and 35, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to bias a first well through a first resistor with a first bias potential, and to bias a second well through a second resistor, and to couple the first bias potential to the first and second wells through a common trace to a supply potential in Momohara's device, in order to operate Momohara's device by supplying accurate voltage in a well known and simple method. The combination is motivated by the knowledge known to an artisan that the main function of a resistor is to provide accurate voltage source to semiconductor devices by distributing voltages across various resistors to determine the exact current required in each part of the device.

Regarding claims 7, 26 and 36, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use resistors having a resistance

Art Unit: 2811

greater than one hundred ohms in Momohara's device in order to provide the required voltage to the device.

Regarding claims 2, 22 and 32, AAPA teaches that a radio frequency circuit comprises an inductor.

Regarding claims 31 and 37, the claimed limitations of a resistor acting as a high impedance relative to a junction capacitance within the triple well, these features are inherent in Momohara's device, because Momohara's structure is identical to the claimed structure.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant argues that prior art does not suggest the advantages of using resistor bias at radio frequency.

The fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

Application/Control Number: 10/081,111 Page 6

Art Unit: 2811

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 700.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC 2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (Novemb r 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722

Art Unit: 2811

and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used <u>only</u> for papers related to Group 2811 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Examiner Nadav* whose telephone number is (703) 308-8138. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 7 AM to 4 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas, can be reached at (703) 308-2772.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Technology Center Receptionists** whose telephone number is **308-0956**.

O.N. April 4, 2003 ORI NADAV
PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

R. Nac